

May 2014

NR/Rangelands/2014-02pr

Nutritional Value and Toxins in Various Noxious Weeds

Beth Burritt and Rae Ann Hart

Why don't livestock eat most noxious weeds? Often weeds contain some level of toxins but most weeds are not so toxic that they cause health problems or death provided livestock have access to a variety of plant species. Novelty may be a better answer as to why livestock don't eat many weedy species. When a weed invades a pasture, it is likely a new or novel food meaning livestock grazing the pasture have never eaten the new weed. Animals learn what to eat and avoid by grazing with their mothers and through individual experience. Once animals establish a preferred diet of familiar foods, adequate in nutrients, and low in toxins, most animals simply avoid eating new foods. In no time, weeds take over because plants that are not grazed have a competitive advantage over grazed plants.

The spread of noxious weeds in pastures and on rangelands is a huge problem in the United States. Many weeds are sprayed with herbicides that provide a temporary reduction in weed populations. Teaching animals to eat noxious weeds may be a solution to reducing noxious weeds. Grazing animals often avoid eating weeds due to novelty even though weeds are often as nutritious as many of our planted pasture and rangelands species. This bulletin provides livestock producers with the nutritive values of many common weeds. These values were summarized from a variety of peerreviewed journal articles. At the back of the bulletin is additional information on the toxicity of weeds listed in this bulletin. Remember when using livestock to graze weeds, variety is important. Animals rarely die from over ingestion of plants with toxins provided they have a variety of forages to eat. Animals prefer to eat a variety of plants. Eating a variety of plants lessen the chances of poisoning from any single plant species. For more information see: "Why Livestock Die from Eating Poisonous Plants." extension.usu.edu/files/publications/publication/ NR Rangelands 2012-07pr.pdf

Even if an animal will readily eat a weed, it doesn't mean the animal can survive on a sole diet of that weed. Many livestock producers have met with disaster trying to force animals to survive on a diet of a single plant. Tame forage plants planted in pastures, on rangelands, or used for hay have been bred to be high in nutrients and low in toxins. These species significantly lower the risk of toxicity to grazing animals eating a single plant species.

Key for Data Tables

CP – crude protein IVDMD – *in vitro* dry matter digestibility TDN – total digestible nutrients NDF – neutral detergent fiber ADF – acid detergent fiber TNC – total non-structural carbohydrates Ca – calcium P – phosphorus DE – digestible energy

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% CP	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Alyssum, Hoary		Early Bud	20	89		29			-			1.14		/
Marten 1987		Mid-bloom	14	76	_	42			AL-AN					
		Full-bloom	12	64		52			a					
		Seed	7	58		60		202	Nº2		Š.,	1		
Blackberry, Himalaya	Spring		15		64					1			175	
Peters 2011	Summer		15		64		Ra.	and the	R.A.				/	1
	Fall	—	16		62	_	ecu.					3		
Bog Rush	Spring		10		54			15	AL.				11	17
Peters 2011	Summer	_	11		54		94 - S. A.	Vorsia 1		CON D			1 . x	
	Fall	—	6		54			Hoary /	Alyssul	m	E	Bog Rus	SN	
Broom, French	Spring		20		62				1A				1	
Peters 2011	Summer	_	15		60			্য	K					
	Fall	—	14		9	—		1 Al				2		3
Broom, Portuguese	Spring		19		58		C	SI	3Va	1				
Peters 2011	Summer	_	20		58			N.	6 19	8	1	- mar		Hearth
	Fall	_	7		53	_		STA	398.7					1
Broom, Scotch	Spring	_	21		61			13	and the					
Peters 2011	Summer		20		58	_		French	n Brooi	n	Hima	alayan B	Blackbe	erry
	Fall	_	17		57	_	1							

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P						
Cheatgrass		Boot	15.4			_		4.1	10.2	2.7	40.2	27.4	0.64	0.36						
Cook 1952		Head	11.1					4.4	10.3	2.1	41.5	30.6	0.60	0.32						
		Dough	8.2					6.3	10.5	1.8	39.8	33.4	0.53	0.27						
	Early Se	ed Shatter	7.4					8.4	10.7	1.6	43.6	28.3	0.51	0.26						
	Late Se	ed Shatter	6.1					10.4	11	1.3	38.8	32.4	0.56	0.21						
Cheatgrass	05/09/58	Heading	8.0		31.3			8.4	8.1	1.5		a G								
Bovey 1961	05/23/58	Flowering	8.8		24.5			8.5	8.7	2.0	1									
	06/06/58	Late	7.1		27.2			9.7	8.7	1.8	The second	MXXY	14							
	06/21/58	Dough	4.6		35.6			11.2	9.9	1.3			XAN)							
	07/03/58	Mature	4.7		39.6			11.4	8.0	1.2	Cheatgrass									
Dalmatian toadflax	Whole	Rosette	16.5			28.6			1			K.E.	198							
Frost 2011	- Plant	Bolt	10.6			44.1		No.	11		e i s	Li.	1							
		Flower	6.9			47.8		1	20.4											
		Seed set	5.6			51.6			1											
	Leaf	Rosette	16.5			28.6		18				F US	1 1							
		Bolt	10.6			44.1							1/47							
		Flower	10.5			32.4						\$ {1								
		Seed set	8.8			51.6		De-	-	K.	Store 1		35							
	Stem	Bolt	5.1			48.4				X		a de la compañía de	AT							
		Flower	3.6			64.5		No.	a sile			V.								
		Seed set	3.3			64.9				Dalm	ation Toa	tion Toadflax								

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Dock, Curley	—	Vegetative	30	73			_	Contr Opticality	St. K		3.27. 44			
Bosworth et al. 1985	—	Flower	19	54				50327	Dis.	57				
	—	Fruit	16	51	—	—					。这个		Cur	
Marten et al. 1987	_	Vegetative	28	77	—	24				30	A C		Doc	k
	_	Vegetative	17	64		33					A THE			
		Vegetative	20	50	—	33					权的	H Marrisht	Ż	
Foxtail, Green	_	none listed	14.9		_						2	6	2	
Moyer 1993		_		—	_				1	5	1205	110	124	
Foxtail, Yellow		Vegetative	18	73	_						RALL	Kite f	E.	
Bosworth et al. 1980	_	Boot	12	66					200	<u> </u>				1
		Head	14	57							St.	S.S.	-	
Temme et al. 1979	_	Early seed	17	63	—	52	27							
	_	Seed	14	60	—	54	30			Yel	low Foxtai	il		-
Gorse	Spring	_	18	_	60									
Peters et al. 2011	Summer		17		58	_					Dimentible			
	Fall	—	11	—	56						Digestible Energy			
Hoary Cress	_	Rosette	28.8	77.3	_	13.1	12.0	1.9		1.6	2.93	9.9		
or Whitetop	<u> </u>	Bolting	29.5	74.7		16.0	13.4	2.3		1.5	2.83	11.2		
McInnis 1993	_	Early bloom	20.3	69.8	_	23.9	21.6	4.4		1.9	2.61	17.0		
	_	Full bloom	11.3	64.9		34.9	28.8	5.9		2.2	2.44	22.0		
	_	Hard seed	7.9	49.1		52.8	41.8	9.4		2.4	1.83	32.1		

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu lose	% Ca	% P
Hoary Cress	Whole	Rosette	28.5			21.8	150		100			1.		1
or Whitetop	Plant	Bolt	28.1			24.6	4	1000			-			-
Frost 2011		Flower	19.9			35.6			12			-		12
	Leaf	Rosette	28.5			21.8			21.14	1		- 20		, F
		Bolt	31.5			20.0		1.			384		-	
		Flower	25.1			22.5		2hest					-40	
	Stem	Bolt	37.8			18.1	1.15		55.2			-		
		Flower	57.1			11.3	(inter			40.7	N.SV	846		
	Flower	Flower	26.2			20.9			Hoary	Cress	or Wh	itetop		
Jerusalem artichoke	5/18/81	Vegetative	27	86		22			(elejiĝi	10-	2	Inorth	And A	1000
Marten 1987	6/1/81	Vegetative	18	81		34			N/A	-	*		*	1.1
	6/15/81	Vegetative	11	70		47	A	W KIER			SE	1 - F	縣主	
	6/29/81	Vegetative	10	66		49	2Hi			* *	150		14	
	5/19/82	Vegetative	29	81		24		- 2			***	18		1
	6/1/82	Vegetative	19	81		29	1							
	7/27/82	Vegetative	22	71		32			Jer	usale	m Artic	hoke		1.22
Knapweed, Diffuse		Spring	18		62				. 6	-				
Peters 2011		Summer	12		62				18	4		Diffus	0	
		Fall	7		59			1.0	12	12		Knap	weed	
							A.K.	A STA				Flowe	r	

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% CP	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Knapweed, Diffuse	30 Jan.	Rosette	16.9				31.8	1. A.	Pier in					
Miller, 1989	20 Mar.		20.4								1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	7.5	177.14	19.5
	15 Dec.		17.3		_		23.8	A start				a de la constante de la consta		N. TE
	30 Jan.	Seedheads	7.5				50.8				a and		Sec.	
	20 Mar.		7.0					and the second						
	15 Dec.		8.3				23.8					a sec		
Knapweed, Diffuse		Rosette	18								2.			
Roche 1999		Bolt	11							Diffus	se Knap	weed		AL. RE
		Bud	8		_					100 A				
		Flowering	8						2	1816	1	Sec.	£ 7.5.	Electron .
		Seed-ripe	7					Meado	w	1 A		-		
Kapweed, Meadow		Spring	21	63				Knapw	eed					
Peters 2011		Summer	17	63									1	Sec.
		Fall	8	58							200 - 100 - 100 2009 - 200 - 100			A.L
Knapweed, Spotted	Site 1	Мау	18.2			24.2			9.3	3.1	24.9		117	
Kelsey 1987		August	9.4			50.7			5.2	3.7	16.7	· · ·	1 today	1
		Aug regrow	13.4			33.3			5.7	3.4	18.7			
	Site 2	June	9.2			26.7			7.7	5.7	25.4		Wa.	Alter J
		Aug	5.2			45.5			5.2	4.3	19	24		12 mar
		May/June	11.5			26.1			8.1	5	25.3			
		Aug	6.2			46.8			5.2	4.2	18.5	Spotte	d Knap	weed

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Knapweed, Spotted		Rosette	19.5		24.9			4 1 4 -		22			•	
Gangulia 2010		Bolt	11.6		29.2					and the	and a			
	Flower/	Seed Set	11.3		40.4	Con C	1 star				SIA		Spotted	I
Knapweed, Spotted		Spring	20	63				a and	Sur N	ϕ/r			Knapwo	eed
Peters 2011		Summer	13	61		Dec 2	1		2					
		Fall	8	59						1- 1	S.A.S.	21.5		
Lambsquarter Marten 1975		None listed	25	68		22				- 24 	6		-	
Alfalfa for comparison ¹		None listed	27	72	_	24		A STATE	-	3.1		407	A	1
Lambsquarter		Bud	22	73	22	17		A		-		1		
Temme 1980		Flower	18	67	27	19			•	Y		A		12
Alfalfa for comparison ¹		Early bloom	20	70	28	23		The	20		-5			
Lambsquarter Moyer 1993		None listed	17	70	_	—			Lam	bsqua	rter			11
Leafy Spurge		Vegetative	26	80		18			N. S.	e Gass ar a Ro		1		0.53
Fox 1991		Flower	22	73		23					n Notices	Ser a		0.46
		Mature	18	66		28			新市	ALC: N	No.	Le	afy	0.39
		Regrowth	14	60		34	N. de	Sec.	a said			Sp	urge	0.32
							and a	Contraction of the second	Carlo		a series	and		
¹ Grown & collected at th	e same site t	o compare nut	rition valu	he					All and	118.22		1		

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% CP	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Medusahead	5/9/58	Leaf	10.4			26.8		6.1	13.9	2.6		J. I.	VAI,	
Bovey 1961	5/23/58	Head	8.8	_		27.4		9.7	13.7	2.3	N7			
	6/6/58	Flower	6.8			31.2		8.6	12.8	1.8			Der Sa	
	6/21/58	Dough	6.5			28.1		10	14.7	1.6	180	(CH)	1/AX	
	7/3/58	Mature	7.3			30.5		7.9	14.5	1.0	N	ledusa	head	0981419333
Redroot pigweed		Vegetative	24	73				Sec. 1			S		200	
Bosworth 1980		Flower	17	71							and a second	30	Æ.	
		Seed	11	64			and the second		The		44			12 -
Redroot pigweed		Flower	18	74	22	16		1			22	- 3		
Marten 1975		Early seed	15	73	27	20			XXP	N.	22			1
Alfalfa for comparison		Early bloom	20	70	28	23	1		Z	4	-3			No.
Moyer 1993		none listed	11.5	—			1.00	6.	R	edroot	Pigwe	ed	DOA	459457
Common ragweed		None listed	25	73			25	X	2		Sta me			
Marten 1975		Vegetative	26	77		21	17		X				nmon weed	
		Vegetative	21	70	_	26	21	T						
Rush Skeleton Weed		Rosette	23.8			26.6							.1	
Frost 2011	Whole Plant	Bolt	14.3			40.9						×.	M	2
		Flower	7.7			56.8							P	
		Seed set	7.8			59.3		S. J. C.	14			1. (†	1	
¹ Grown & collected at th	ne same site t	to compare nut	rition valu	he			R	Rush Ske	leton	Weed	(whole	plant a	nd flow	er)

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% CP	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Rush Skeleton Weed	Leaf	Rosette	23.8			26.6			The second	-			A distant with	
Frost 2011		Bolt	18.0			31.6			Diet		and the second	2.2	E KA	100
		Flower	14.5			12.5			6.41	- Pres	Martin a			1
		Seed set	12.5			41.9					ant an			
	Stem	Bolt	9.7			51.8						Sec. 1	AL.	14
		Flower	7.3			60.0					Contact I			
		Seed set	6.9			61.1					(Peter)		7	
	Flower	Flower	14.4			43.9	Į.	47			S. API			
	Seed	Seed set	13.5			42.2		R	ush Sl	keleto	n Weed Ir	nfestatio	on	
Sedge	Spring		11		55			0 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -						
Peters 2011	Summer		13		57				× X	>				d.
	Fall		10		56			VI						Ţ,
								IN	Λ)		A		*
Shepherd's purse		Green seed	19	55		37	29	AL	$\langle \rangle$		GY.		2	K
Temme 1979		Seed	16	53		41	34	31		TA		-	\sim	
Alfalfa for comparison ¹		Early bloom	20	70		28	23	Se	dge		She	epherd's	s Purse	
Perennial sowthistle		Vegetative	21	79		27		10 A						
Marten 1987		Late Bud	16	82		31		1				3.	A U/LAN	
		Mid-bloom	13	66		45					<i>.</i>			1
														A. C.
¹ Grown & collected at th	e same site	to compare nut	rition valu	le					三九 前	Pere	ennial Sov	vthistle		

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Sulfur Cinquefoil	Whole	Rosette	14.6			47.6			. 4	. I	A		115	
Frost 2011	Plant	Bolt	9.6			45.0	1	Second	-		6 2 4		\mathbb{M}	
		Flower	6.6			47.5				No.				19
		Seed set	4.6			52.7		14		X	1	2	1	1
	Leaf	Rosette	14.6			47.6	M.		100			1	1	
		Bolt	11.5			43.4	54				÷	20		
		Flower	8.8			38.6					1200			
		Seed set	5.3			41.2			N.Z	X	k. ····			
	Stem	Bolt	6.1			48.0				elZ.	to is			14
		Flower	3.5			54.7			No. A.F.	1	100	1. F		2
		Seed set	2.2			62.8	10	1	Ber	1		-08	1	
	Flower	Flower	9.1			46.9	12	10		1.	a dest	1		
	Seed set	Seed	7.4			43.6				Sulfu	^r Cinquefo	oil		
Topov Pogwort		Rosette	15.5			37.6	STAN.		0.64	WII.		A^{-1}		
Tansy Ragwort	Whole Plant							**				ALC: N	YZ	
Frost 2011	Fidin	Bolt	11.5			31.7		We want	Under	•		26.	1	S.
		Flower	8.7			49.2	1	EH- N						-
	Leaf	Rosette	15.5			37.6			*			15.1	/•*	
		Bolt	15.2			31			N	Tan				
		Flower	13.9			35.9	14	ANT Y	State of		Sur La		1-1-1-	
	Stem	Bolt	7.4			32.8	12				Per si as		No.	ST.
		Flower	3.3			58.5	A		4		Service of			2
	Flower	Flower	17.6			41.1			-	Tanse	y Ragwor	t		

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Thistle, Bull	Spring	_	18		60				ia.		تلغ			
Peters 2011	Summer	_	19		59		3	California (California)				E TA	K	
	Fall	_	9		60		X		-			Ϋ́	240	ž,
This day of a set	O serie s				50				1		1/			1
Thistle, Canada	Spring	—	21		58			Addable			1		X/	<u>/</u>
Peters 2011	Summer	—	18		58			141			Canadian	Thistle	Flower	
	Fall	—	12		61		5	1ª				13	Jut.	7
Marten 1987	_	Vegetative	28	79		28	Bull	Thistle I	lower				2.1	4
	_	Vegetative	19	78		32		1						
		Bud	17	76		41					SAC.	N. R.	13	×
		Bud	18	72		34						alk.		Can I
	_	Mid-bloom	15	64		50	1				A	20	No.	
								WE NU	1		4			1
Thistle, Italian	Spring	—	15		61			3.874						
Peters 2011	Summer	—	14		59			z CP			201		No.	
	Fall	_	7		58		Italia	n Thistle	e Flow	er	Bull T	histle F	Plant	
Thistle, Russian Moyer 1993		None listed	10								T		9 u	
Thistle, Russian		50 days	14.4	68.5			20.1	4.3	24.2					akr.
Hageman 1988		110 days	10.4	59.6			34.7	6.8	15.8	1			-	
										dire.	Rus	sian Th	istle	

Common Name	Date or Season	Stage of Maturity	% СР	% IVDMD	% TDN	% NDF	% ADF	% Lignin	% Ash	% Fat	% TNC	Cellu Iose	% Ca	% P
Yellow Starthistle	Spring		13		60				STATES IN			的资	14	
Peters 2011	Summer	_	10		61			188	124		他的人			
	Fall	—	10		59		3		7°	S.	3.35	5.0	Sec.	314
Yellow Starthistle	Whole	Rosette	15.7			35.8	×			1			N S	
Frost 2011	Plant	Bolt	11.1			37.3						$V_{c} \in$		ET.
		Flower	4.5			45.2		XX	. († 5		USACE.		AND I	
		Seed set	3.6			55.0	25	6		Ar.		65.		
	Leaf	Rosette	15.7			35.8		1-31			101 M			T.
		Bolt	12.8			34.6	1		X				UGA14	59669
		Flower	5.5			37.3		Page 1	-		and institute			
		Seed set	6.7			43.8		Jully .	A.		. 1	100		
	Stem	Bolt	7.4			43.1		Spell 1	5		S	-	Yellow	
		Flower	2.8			46.9	-	-	they-	1	AR		Starthis	stle
		Seed set	2.3			61.0	00000	To	1.1		A			
	Flower	Flower	8.2			42.5			8		N/			
	Seed	Seed set	4.6			47.5		and the second	No.	15. I.I.K.	исл	1459653		

Potential Toxin Problem in Weeds

Alyssum, Hoary (*Berteroa incana*) – Plant is rarely eaten. Problems only seen in horses grazing heavily infested pastures or contaminated hay. Both the green and dried plant are toxic.

Blackberry, Himalaya (*Rubus armeniacus or Rubus discolor*) – No reports of toxicity. Berries can be eaten and leaves are used to make tea.

Bog Rush (*Juncus effuses*) – No reports of toxicity. In rare cases, related species of rush cause diarrhea, nervousness, weight loss, temporary blindness, and seizures possibly due cyanide compounds in the plant.

Broom, French (*Genista monspessulana, Cytisus monspessulanus, C. racemosus, C. canariensis*) – Goats browse on several species of broom with no ill effects. In some livestock, ingestion of plant parts can cause staggering followed by paralysis. Can cause digestive disorders in horses. Symptoms probably vary with types and amount of alkaloids in the plant.

Broom, Portuguese (*Cytisus striatus*) – See description above.

Broom, Scotch (*Cytisus scoparius*) – See description above.

Cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) – No reports of toxicity. Seeds and awns may cause mechanical injury.

Dalmation toadflax (*Linaria dalmatica*) – Intoxication has not been reported. Plants contain alkaloids and glycosides.

Dock, Curley (*Rumex crispus*) – Livestock poisoning from eating curly dock is rare. Cattle would need to eat considerable quantities of the plant to be affected (10-20 lbs of green plant for an adult cow). The plant accumulates oxalates, which can cause kidney disease when eaten in large quantities. May severely reduce calcium levels in blood causing depression, excess salivation, staggering, tremors, falls and labored respiration. Animals will die in a few hours after onset of symptoms unless treated with an IV calcium solution.

Foxtail, Yellow (*Setaria glauca*) – Can cause some minor problems although occurrence is rare. Common problems are cuts and ulcerations caused by sharp bristles in hay. May cause a reluctance to eat, increased salivation, and inflammation. Hay can cause degenerative arthritis in horses.

Foxtail, Green (*Setaria viridis*) – No reports of toxicity found.

Gorse (*Ulex europaeus*) – No reports of toxicity found. Goats used to control the weed.

Hoary Cress (whitetop, *Cardaria draba*) – Not listed as a toxic plant. Many members of this plant family cause digestive irritation.

Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus*) – Often eaten by livestock without negative effects, but the plant may accumulate nitrate.

Knapweed, Diffuse (*Centaurea diffusa*) – Livestock will eat diffuse knapweed. No toxicity problems found.

Knapweed, Russian (*Centaurea repens*) – Toxicity is only seen in horses. Large amounts of plant must be eaten (60-100% body weight) for an extended period of time, several months or more.

Knapweed, Meadow (*Centaurea pratensis*) – No toxicity problems found.

Knapweed, Spotted (*Centaurea stoebe*; a.k.a., *C. biersteinii* and *C. maculosa*) – Livestock will eat knapweeds. No toxicity problems found.

Lambsquarter (*Chenopodium album*)–Accumulates nitrates and oxalates. Very rarely, ruminants may experience tremors, incoordination, neurologic problems, and decreased blood calcium when consumed in large quantities.

Leafy Spurge (*Euphorbia esula*) – Latex sap may be irritating to animals and humans. Young plants or gradual introduction (over several weeks) to the plant makes the plant more acceptable and is readily eaten. Sheep and goats can be used to control infestations with no ill effects. It compares nutritionally to alfalfa.

Medusahead (*Taeniatherum canput-medusae*) – No toxicity problems found.

Redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus*) – Accumulates nitrates and oxalates. When large quantities of fresh plants are eaten in summer and fall, it can cause kidney disease. Also may cause nitrate poisoning if ruminants eat dried plants in hay.

Common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) – Toxicity of ragweed not confirmed. Plant very bitter and not eaten in large quantities.

Rush Skeleton Weed (*Chondrilla juncea*) – No toxicity found. Rosettes are palatable to cattle and sheep.

Sedge – Several types are abundant in Utah. No known toxicity.

Shepherd's Purse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris*) – Not considered toxic.

Perennial sowthistle (*Sonchus arvensis*) – No reports of toxicity found. Cattle and sheep have been seen grazing the weed.

Sulfur Cinquefoil (*Potentilla recta*) – No reports of toxicity found. Avoided because of high tannin content.

Tansy Ragwort (*Senecio jacobaea*) – Cattle and horses should not be encouraged to eat tansy ragwort. Sheep are more resistant than cattle or horses. They can tolerate 10 times the level of tansy ragwort in the diet compared to cattle. Sheep have been used to control the plant.

Cattle that eat 5-10% of body weight of tansy ragwort may have acute liver damage. Eating 25-50% body weight over weeks or months causes liver fibrosis and failure. Symptoms in cattle include weight loss, weakness, mania, and rectal straining with or without prolapse. Symptoms can appear long after animal stops eating plant especially if stressed.

Horses experience an abrupt onset of symptoms such as head pressing, pacing, ataxia, chewing, yawning, drowsiness, and rectal straining with either constipation or diarrhea. Treatment is usually ineffective. **Thistle, bull** (*Cirsium vulgare*) – No reports of toxicity found.

Thistle, Canada (*Cirsium arvense*) – No reports of toxicity found. May accumulate nitrate; levels of nitrate may increase several days after spraying with herbicide. Nitrate problems are found only in ruminants and are more common in cattle than sheep.

Thistle, Italian (*Carduus pycnocephalus*) – No reports of toxicity found.

Thistle, Russian (*Salsola sp.*) – Not proven to be a problem in North America. It can accumulate both nitrates and oxalates, but Hagerman (1988) reported nitrate and oxalate levels were not high enough to cause health problem in sheep or cattle.

Yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*) – Toxicity is only seen in horses. Large amounts of plant must be consumed (near 100% of body weight) for an extended period of time apparently several months or more. Interruptions in feeding periods of 1 to 2 weeks may be protective.

*Burrows and Tyrl (2001) was the primary source for toxins in weeds.

References

Bosworth, S.C., C.S. Hoveland, G.A. Buchanan, and W.B. Anthony. 1980. Forage quality of selected warm-season weed species. Agronomy Journal 72:1050-1054.

Bosworth, S.C., C.S. Hoveland, and G.A. Buchanan. 1985. Forage quality of selected cool-season weed species. Weed Science 34:150-154.

Bovey, R.W., D. Le Tourneau, and L.C. Erickson. 1961. The chemical composition of medusahead and downy brome. Weeds 9:307-311.

Burrows, G.E., and R.J. Tyrl. 2001. Toxic Plants of North America. First edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Cook, C.W., and L.E. Harris. 1952. Nutritive value of cheatgrass and crested wheatgrass on spring ranges in Utah. Journal of Range Management 5:331-337.

Frost, R.A., L.M. Wilson, K.L. Launchbaugh, and E.M. Hovde. 2008. Seasonal change in forage value of rangeland weeds in Northern Idaho. Invasive Plant Science Management 1:343–351.

Fox, D., D. Kirby, R.G. Lym, J. Caton, and K. Krabbenhoft. 1991. Chemical composition of leafy spurge and alfalfa. North Dakota State University Agricultural Experiment Station, Research Bimonthly Bulletin 48(6):7-9.

Gangulia, A.C., M.B. Hale, and K.L. Launchbaugh. 2010. Seasonal change in nutrient composition of spotted knapweed and preference by sheep. Small Ruminant Research 89:47–50.

Hageman, J.H., J.L. Fowler, M. Suzukida, V. Salas, and R. LeCaptain. 1988. Analysis of Russian thistle (*Salsola* species) selections for factors affecting forage nutritional value. Journal of Range Management 41:155-158.

Kelsey, R.G., and R.D. Mihalovich. 1987. Nutrient composition of spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa*). Journal of Range Management 40:277-281.

Marten, G.C., and R.N. Andersen. 1975. Forage nutritive value and palatability of 12 common annual weeds. Crop Science 15:821-827.

Marten, G.C., C.C. Sheaffer, and D.L. Wyse. 1987. Forage nutritive value and palatability of perennial weeds. Agronomy Journal 79:980-986.

McInnis, M.L., L.L. Larson, and R.F. Miller. 1993. Nutrient composition of whitetop. Journal of Range Management 46:227-231.

Miller, V.A. 1990. Knapweed as forage for big game in the Kootenays. p. 35-37. In: Roche, B.F. and Roche, C.T. (eds). Range weeds revisited: Proceedings of a symposium: A 1989 Pacific Northwest range management short course. Washington State University, Department of Natural Resource Sciences, Cooperative Extension.

Moyer, J.R., and R. Hironaka. 1993. Digestible energy and protein content of some annual weeds, alfalfa, bromegrass, and tame oats. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 73:1305-1308.

Peters, A., S. Filley, and A. Hulting. 2011. Forage values of pasture weeds in southwestern Oregon. 64th Society for Range Management Meetings, Billings, MT.

Roche', B.F., Jr., and C.T. Roche'. 1999. Diffuse knapweed. p. 217-230. In: R.L. Sheley and J.K. Petroff. Biology and Management of Noxious Rangeland Weeds. Oregon State University Press. Corvallis, OR.

Temme, D.G., R.G. Harvey, R.S. Fawcett, and A.W. Young. 1979. Effects of annual weed control on alfalfa forage quality. Agronomy Journal 71:51-54.

Utah State University is committed to providing an environment free from harassment and other forms of illegal discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age (40 and older), disability, and veteran's status. USU's policy also prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in employment and academic related practices and decisions.

Utah State University employees and students cannot, because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or veteran's status, refuse to hire; discharge; promote; demote; terminate; discriminate in compensation; or discriminate regarding terms, privileges, or conditions of employment, against any person otherwise qualified. Employees and students also cannot discriminate in the classroom, residence halls, or in on/off campus, USU-sponsored events and activities.

This publication is issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Kenneth L. White, Vice President for Extension and Agriculture, Utah State University.